



TITLE:

ON THE GROSS-KEATING INVARIANTS OF A HERMITIAN FORM OVER A NON-ARCHIMEDEAN LOCAL FIELD (Analytic and Arithmetic Theory of Automorphic Forms)

AUTHOR(S):

Ikeda, Tamotsu; Katsurada, Hidenori

CITATION:

Ikeda, Tamotsu ...[et al]. ON THE GROSS-KEATING INVARIANTS OF A HERMITIAN FORM OVER A NON-ARCHIMEDEAN LOCAL FIELD (Analytic and Arithmetic Theory of Automorphic Forms). 数理解析研究所講究録 2019, 2100: 141-150

ISSUE DATE:

2019-01

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/251800>

RIGHT:

ON THE GROSS-KEATING INVARIANTS OF A HERMITIAN FORM OVER A NON-ARCHIMEDEAN LOCAL FIELD

TAMOTSU IKEDA AND HIDENORI KATSURADA

It is known that the Siegel series of a quadratic form over a non-archimedean local field is determined by the Gross-Keating invariant and its related invariants. Here, we discuss an analogue for a semi-integral hermitian form with respect to a quadratic extension of a non-archimedean local field.

1. REVIEW OF THE THEORY OF GROSS-KEATING INVARIANT FOR A QUADRATIC FORM

Here, we briefly review the theory of the Gross-Keating invariant for a quadratic form over a non-archimedean local field ([4], [5]). The symbols defined for quadratic form are distinguished by adding the subscript "quad" to avoid possible confusion. For example, $S(B)$ for quadratic form is denoted by $S(B)_{\text{quad}}$.

Let F be a non-archimedean local field of characteristic 0, and $\mathfrak{o} = \mathfrak{o}_F$ its ring of integers. The order $\text{ord}(x)$ of $x \in F^\times$ is normalized so that $\text{ord}(\varpi) = 1$ for a prime element ϖ of F . We understand $\text{ord}(0) = +\infty$.

The set of symmetric matrices $B \in M_n(F)$ of size n is denoted by $\text{Sym}_n(F)$. For $B \in \text{Sym}_n(F)$ and $X \in \text{GL}_n(F)$, we set $B[X] = {}^tXBX$. We say that $B = (b_{ij}) \in \text{Sym}_n(F)$ is a half-integral symmetric matrix if

$$\begin{aligned} b_{ii} &\in \mathfrak{o}_F & (1 \leq i \leq n), \\ 2b_{ij} &\in \mathfrak{o}_F & (1 \leq i \leq j \leq n). \end{aligned}$$

The set of all half-integral symmetric matrices of size n is denoted by $\mathcal{H}_n(\mathfrak{o})$. An element $B \in \mathcal{H}_n(\mathfrak{o})$ is non-degenerate if $\det B \neq 0$. The set of all non-degenerate elements of $\mathcal{H}_n(\mathfrak{o})$ is denoted by $\mathcal{H}_n^{\text{nd}}(\mathfrak{o})$.

The equivalence class of $B \in \mathcal{H}_n(\mathfrak{o})$ is denoted by $\{B\}_{\text{quad}}$, i.e., $\{B\}_{\text{quad}} = \{B[U] \mid U \in \text{GL}_n(\mathfrak{o})\}$. We write $B \sim_{\text{quad}} B'$ if $B' \in \{B\}_{\text{quad}}$.

Definition 1.1. Let $B = (b_{ij}) \in \mathcal{H}_n^{\text{nd}}(\mathfrak{o})$. Let $S(B)_{\text{quad}}$ be the set of all non-decreasing sequences $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}(b_{ii}) &\geq a_i & (1 \leq i \leq n), \\ \text{ord}(2b_{ij}) &\geq (a_i + a_j)/2 & (1 \leq i \leq j \leq n). \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$\mathbf{S}(\{B\})_{\text{quad}} = \bigcup_{B' \in \{B\}} S(B')_{\text{quad}} = \bigcup_{U \in \text{GL}_n(\mathfrak{o})} S(B[U])_{\text{quad}}.$$

The (quadratic) Gross-Keating invariant $\text{GK}(B)_{\text{quad}}$ of B is the greatest element of $\mathbf{S}(\{B\})_{\text{quad}}$ with respect to the lexicographic order \succeq on $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$.

It is easy to see that $\mathbf{S}(\{B\})_{\text{quad}}$ is a finite set.

A sequence of length 0 is denoted by \emptyset . When B is the empty matrix, we understand $\text{GK}(B)_{\text{quad}} = \emptyset$. By definition, the Gross-Keating invariant $\text{GK}(B)_{\text{quad}}$ is determined only by the equivalence class of B .

Definition 1.2. $B \in \mathcal{H}_n^{\text{nd}}(\mathfrak{o})$ is optimal if $\text{GK}(B)_{\text{quad}} \in S(B)_{\text{quad}}$.

By definition, a non-degenerate half-integral symmetric matrix $B \in \mathcal{H}_n^{\text{nd}}(\mathfrak{o})$ is equivalent to an optimal form.

For $B \in \mathcal{H}_n^{\text{nd}}(\mathfrak{o})$, we put $D_B = (-4)^{[n/2]} \det B$. If n is even, we denote the discriminant ideal of $F(\sqrt{D_B})/F$ by \mathfrak{D}_B . We also put

$$\xi(B)_{\text{quad}} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } D_B \in F^{\times 2}, \\ -1 & \text{if } F(\sqrt{D_B})/F \text{ is unramified and } [F(\sqrt{D_B}) : F] = 2, \\ 0 & \text{if } F(\sqrt{D_B})/F \text{ is ramified.} \end{cases}$$

Here, $F^{\times 2} = \{x^2 \mid x \in F^\times\}$.

Definition 1.3. For $B \in \mathcal{H}_n^{\text{nd}}(\mathfrak{o})$, we put

$$\Delta(B)_{\text{quad}} = \begin{cases} \text{ord}(D_B) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ \text{ord}(D_B) - \text{ord}(\mathfrak{D}_B) + 1 - \xi(B)_{\text{quad}}^2 & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1.1. Suppose that $\underline{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = \text{GK}(B)_{\text{quad}}$ for $B \in \mathcal{H}_n^{\text{nd}}(\mathfrak{o})$. Then we have

$$a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n = \Delta(B)_{\text{quad}}.$$

For a non-decreasing sequence $\underline{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$, we set

$$G_{\underline{a}, \text{quad}} = \{g = (g_{ij}) \in \text{GL}_n(\mathfrak{o}) \mid \text{ord}(g_{ij}) \geq (a_j - a_i)/2, \text{ if } a_i < a_j\}.$$

Theorem 1.2. Suppose that $B \in \mathcal{H}_n^{\text{nd}}(\mathfrak{o})$ is optimal and $\text{GK}(B)_{\text{quad}} = \underline{a}$. Let $U \in \text{GL}_n(\mathfrak{o})$. Then $B[U]$ is optimal if and only if $U \in G_{\underline{a}, \text{quad}}$.

For $B = (b_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \in \mathcal{H}_n(\mathfrak{o})$ and $1 \leq m \leq n$, we denote the upper left $m \times m$ submatrix $(b_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} \in \mathcal{H}_m(\mathfrak{o})$ by $B^{(m)}$. For $\underline{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$, we put $\underline{a}^{(m)} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m)$ for $m \leq n$.

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose that $B \in \mathcal{H}_n^{\text{nd}}(\mathfrak{o})$ is optimal and $\text{GK}(B)_{\text{quad}} = \underline{a}$. If $a_k < a_{k+1}$, then $B^{(k)}$ is also optimal and $\text{GK}(B^{(k)})_{\text{quad}} = \underline{a}^{(k)}$.*

Definition 1.4. The Clifford invariant of $B \in \mathcal{H}_n^{\text{nd}}(\mathfrak{o})$ is the Hasse invariant of the Clifford algebra (resp. the even Clifford algebra) of B if n is even (resp. odd).

We denote the Clifford invariant of B by $\eta(B)$.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $B, B_1 \in \mathcal{H}_n^{\text{nd}}(\mathfrak{o})$. Suppose that $B \sim_{\text{quad}} B_1$ and both B and B_1 are optimal. Let $\underline{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = \text{GK}(B)_{\text{quad}} = \text{GK}(B_1)_{\text{quad}}$. Suppose that $a_k < a_{k+1}$ for $1 \leq k < n$. Then the following assertions (1) and (2) hold.*

- (1) *If k is even, then $\xi(B^{(k)})_{\text{quad}} = \xi(B_1^{(k)})_{\text{quad}}$.*
- (2) *If k is odd, then $\eta(B^{(k)}) = \eta(B_1^{(k)})$.*

Let $\psi : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be an additive character of order 0. For $B \in \mathcal{H}_n^{\text{nd}}(\mathfrak{o})$, we define the Siegel series $b(B, s)$ by

$$b(B, s) = \int_{R \in \text{Sym}_n(F)} \psi(\text{tr}(BR)) [R\mathfrak{o}^n + \mathfrak{o}^n : \mathfrak{o}^n]^{-s} dR.$$

This integral is convergent for $\text{Re}(s) \gg 0$, and is analytically continued to the whole s -plane. Put

$$\gamma(B, X) = \begin{cases} \frac{(1-X)}{(1-q^{n/2}\xi(B)X)} \prod_{i=1}^{n/2} (1-q^{2i}X^2) & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ (1-X) \prod_{i=1}^{(n-1)/2} (1-q^{2i}X^2) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1.5 (Kitaoka, Feit, Shimura). *There exists a polynomial $F(B, X) \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ such that*

$$b(B, s) = \gamma(B, q^{-s})F(B, q^{-s}).$$

Put

$$\tilde{F}(B, X) = X^{-\text{ord}(D_B)/2} F(B, q^{-(n+1)/2} X).$$

Then we have a functional equation

$$\tilde{F}(B, X^{-1}) = \eta(B)^n \tilde{F}(B, X).$$

Theorem 1.6. *The Siegel series $F(B, X)$ is determined by the following data:*

- (1) *The Gross-Keating invariant $\underline{a} = \text{GK}(B)_{\text{quad}}$.*
- (2) *The Kronecker invariants $\xi(B^{(k)})_{\text{quad}}$ for $a_k < a_{k+1}$, with k even.*
- (3) *The Clifford invariants $\eta(B^{(k)})$ for $a_k < a_{k+1}$, with k odd.*

2. THE GROSS-KEATING INVARIANT FOR HERMITIAN FORMS

Let F be a non-archimedean local field. Let E/F be a *ramified* quadratic extension, and $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{D}_{E/F}$ be its relative different. The trace and the norm for E/F are denoted by $\text{tr}_{E/F}$ and $N_{E/F}$, respectively. The non-trivial automorphism of E/F is denoted by $x \mapsto \bar{x}$. We fix a prime element ϖ_E of \mathfrak{o}_E and put $\varpi = N_{E/F}(\varpi_E)$. Thus ϖ is a prime element of F . We denote the discriminant ideal of E/F by $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{D}_{E/F}$. Thus we have $\mathbf{D} = N_{E/F}(\mathfrak{D})$. The order of $x \in E^\times$ is normalized so that $\text{ord}(\varpi) = 1$. In particular, $\text{ord}(\varpi_E) = 1/2$. Similarly, the order of an \mathfrak{o}_E -ideal is defined by $\text{ord}(\mathfrak{p}_E^k) = k/2$.

For a matrix $X = (x_{ij}) \in M_{mn}(E)$, the hermitian conjugate $X^* = (x_{ij}^*) \in M_{nm}(E)$ is defined by $x_{ij}^* = \bar{x}_{ji}$. We say that $B = B^* = (b_{ij}) \in M_n(E)$ is a semi-integral hermitian matrix if

$$b_{ii} \in \mathfrak{o}_F, \quad b_{ij} = \bar{b}_{ji} \in \mathfrak{D}^{-1} \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq n).$$

The set of all semi-integral hermitian matrices of size n is denoted by $\mathcal{H}_n(\mathfrak{o})_{E/F}$. When there is no fear of confusion, we just write $\mathcal{H}_n(\mathfrak{o})$ for $\mathcal{H}_n(\mathfrak{o})_{E/F}$. An element $B \in \mathcal{H}_n(\mathfrak{o})$ is non-degenerate if $\det B \neq 0$. The set of all non-degenerate elements of $\mathcal{H}_n(\mathfrak{o})$ is denoted by $\mathcal{H}_n^{\text{nd}}(\mathfrak{o})$.

Definition 2.1. For $B \in \mathcal{H}_n^{\text{nd}}(\mathfrak{o})$, set

$$\xi_B = \xi(B) = \chi_{K/F}((-1)^{[n/2]} \det B),$$

where $\chi_{E/F} : F^\times \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ is the character corresponding to E/F by the local class field theory. Put $e_B = \text{ord}(\det B \cdot \mathbf{D}^{[n/2]})$. One can easily see that $e_B \geq 0$ for any $B \in \mathcal{H}_n^{\text{nd}}(\mathfrak{o})$. Put

$$\Delta(B) = \begin{cases} e_B - 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } \xi_B = -1, \\ e_B & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

One can also show that $\Delta(B) \geq 0$ for any $B \in \mathcal{H}_n^{\text{nd}}(\mathfrak{o})$.

Definition 2.2. Let $S(B)$ be the set of all non-decreasing sequences $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}(b_{ii}) &\geq a_i, & (1 \leq i \leq n), \\ \text{ord}(b_{ij}\mathfrak{D}) &\geq (a_i + a_j)/2 & (1 \leq i, j \leq n). \end{aligned}$$

We also write $S(\underline{\psi})$ for $S(B)$.

Definition 2.3. Set

$$\mathbf{S}(\{B\}) = \bigcup_{B' \in \{B\}} S(B') = \bigcup_{U \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathfrak{o}_K)} S(B[U]).$$

The Gross-Keating invariant $\underline{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ of B is the greatest element of $\mathbf{S}(\{B\})$ with respect to the lexicographic order \succ on $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$. The Gross-Keating invariant is denoted by $\mathrm{GK}(B)$. A sequence of length 0 is denoted by \emptyset . When B is the empty matrix, we understand $\mathrm{GK}(B) = \emptyset$.

By definition, the Gross-Keating invariant $\mathrm{GK}(B)$ is determined only by the equivalence class of B .

Definition 2.4. $B \in \mathcal{H}_n(\mathfrak{o})$ is optimal if $\mathrm{GK}(B) \in S(B)$.

Recall that $B \in \mathcal{H}_n(\mathfrak{o})$ is maximal if $B[U^{-1}] \in \mathcal{H}_n(\mathfrak{o})$ for some $U \in M_n(\mathfrak{o}_E)$, then $U \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathfrak{o}_E)$.

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose that $B \in \mathcal{H}_n^{\mathrm{nd}}(\mathfrak{o})$. Then B is maximal if and only if $\mathrm{GK}(B) = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$.*

For a non-decreasing sequence $\underline{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$, we set

$$G_{\underline{a}} = \{g = (g_{ij}) \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathfrak{o}_E) \mid \mathrm{ord}(g_{ij}) \geq (a_j - a_i)/2, \text{ if } a_i < a_j\}.$$

Definition 2.5. For $\underline{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$, put

$$\mathcal{M}(\underline{a}) = \left\{ B = (b_{ij}) \in \mathcal{H}_n(\mathfrak{o}) \mid \begin{array}{l} \mathrm{ord}(b_{ii}) \geq a_i, \\ \mathrm{ord}(\mathfrak{D}b_{ij}) \geq (a_i + a_j)/2, \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq n) \end{array} \right\},$$

Note that for $B \in \mathcal{H}_n^{\mathrm{nd}}(\mathfrak{o})$, we have

$$\underline{a} \in S(B) \iff B \in \mathcal{M}(\underline{a}).$$

3. REDUCED FORMS

Let $\underline{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ be a non-decreasing sequence. We define n_s, n_s^* , and I_s for $s = 1, \dots, r$ is in the previous section. For an involution $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}^0 &= \mathcal{P}^0(\sigma) = \{i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, i = \sigma(i)\}, \\ \mathcal{P}^+ &= \mathcal{P}^+(\sigma) = \{i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, a_i > a_{\sigma(i)}\}, \\ \mathcal{P}^{++} &= \mathcal{P}^{++}(\sigma) = \{i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, a_i > a_{\sigma(i)} + 1\}, \\ \mathcal{P}^- &= \mathcal{P}^-(\sigma) = \{i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, a_i < a_{\sigma(i)}\}, \\ \mathcal{P}^{--} &= \mathcal{P}^{--}(\sigma) = \{i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, a_i + 1 < a_{\sigma(i)}\}. \end{aligned}$$

For each block I_1, \dots, I_r , put

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_s^0 &= \mathcal{P}^0 \cap I_s, & \mathcal{P}_s^- &= \mathcal{P}^- \cap I_s, \\ \mathcal{P}_s^+ &= \mathcal{P}^+ \cap I_s, & \mathcal{P}_s^{++} &= \mathcal{P}^{++} \cap I_s, \\ \mathcal{P}_s^- &= \mathcal{P}^- \cap I_s, & \mathcal{P}_s^{--} &= \mathcal{P}^{--} \cap I_s.\end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.1. An involution $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ is \underline{a} -admissible, if

$$\sum_{i=1}^s \#\mathcal{P}_i^{--} + \sum_{i=1}^s \#\mathcal{P}_i^0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^s \#\mathcal{P}_i^{++} + 2$$

for $s = 1, \dots, r$.

Note that if $a_{s+1}^* > a_s^* + 1$ or $s = r$, then we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^s \#\mathcal{P}_i^- + \sum_{i=1}^s \#\mathcal{P}_i^0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^s \#\mathcal{P}_i^+ + 2$$

since

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^s \#\mathcal{P}_i^- - \sum_{i=1}^s \#\mathcal{P}_i^+ &= \#\{i \mid a_i \leq a_s^*, a_{\sigma(i)} > a_s^*\} \\ &= \#\{i \mid a_i \leq a_s^*, a_{\sigma(i)} > a_s^* + 1\} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^s \#\mathcal{P}_i^{--} - \sum_{i=1}^s \#\mathcal{P}_i^{++}\end{aligned}$$

in this case.

Lemma 3.1. Let $\underline{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ be a non-decreasing sequence and σ an \underline{a} -admissible involution. Then we have $\#\mathcal{P}^0 \leq 2$. We also have $\#\mathcal{P}_s^{++} \leq 2$ and $\#\mathcal{P}_s^{--} \leq 2$ for $s = 1, \dots, r$.

Proof. Put $\mathcal{Q}_s = \{i \in \mathcal{P}^{--} \mid a_i \leq a_s^*, a_{\sigma(i)} > a_s^*\}$. Then we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^s \#\mathcal{P}_i^{--} - \sum_{i=1}^s \#\mathcal{P}_i^{++} = \#\mathcal{Q}_s$$

It follows that

$$\#\mathcal{Q}_s + \sum_{i=1}^s \#\mathcal{P}_i^0 \leq 2$$

for $s = 1, \dots, r$. In particular, we have $\#\mathcal{P}^0 \leq 2$. We also have $\#\mathcal{P}_s^{--} \leq 2$, since $\mathcal{P}_s^{--} \subset \mathcal{Q}_s$. Note that if $i \in \mathcal{P}_s^{++}$, then we have $\sigma(i) \in \mathcal{Q}_{s-1}$. Hence we have $\#\mathcal{P}_s^{++} \leq 2$. \square

For $B = (b_{ij}) \in \mathcal{H}_n(\mathfrak{o})$ and $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, we write $B_{(ij)} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{ii} & b_{ij} \\ \bar{b}_{ij} & b_{jj} \end{pmatrix}$.

Definition 3.2. $B = (b_{ij}) \in \mathcal{M}(\underline{a})$ is a reduced form of GK type (\underline{a}, σ) , if the following conditions (1), (2), (3), and (4) hold.

(1) For $i < j = \sigma(i)$, we have

$$\mathrm{GK}(B_{(ij)}) = (a_i, a_j), \quad \xi_{B_{(ij)}} = 1.$$

(2) If $i \in \mathcal{P}^0 \cup \mathcal{P}^{--}$, then we have

$$\mathrm{ord}(b_{ii}) = a_i.$$

(3) Suppose that $i, j \in \mathcal{P}^0 \cup \mathcal{P}^{--}$ and that $i < j$. Suppose also that either $i \in \mathcal{P}^0$ or $\sigma(i) > j$. Then we have

$$\mathrm{GK}(B_{(ij)}) = (a_i, a_j), \quad \xi_{B_{(ij)}} = -1.$$

(4) For $j \neq i, \sigma(i)$, we have

$$\mathrm{ord}(b_{ij}\mathfrak{D}) > \frac{a_i + a_j}{2}.$$

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that $B \in \mathcal{H}_n(\mathfrak{o})$ is optimal and $\mathrm{GK}(B) = \underline{a}$. Then there exists $U \in G_{\underline{a}}$ and an \underline{a} -admissible involution σ such that $B[U]$ is a reduced form of GK type (\underline{a}, σ) .

Theorem 3.2. Suppose that $B \in \mathcal{H}_n^{\mathrm{nd}}(\mathfrak{o})$ and $\mathrm{GK}(B) = \underline{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$. Then we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_n = \Delta(B).$$

4. THE MODIFIED GROSS-KEATING INVARIANT $\mathrm{MGK}(B)$

Let $\underline{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ be a sequence which is not necessarily non-decreasing and $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ an involution. We say that (\underline{a}, σ) is a generalized GK type if there exists a permutation $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}$ such that $(a_{\tau(1)}, a_{\tau(2)}, \dots, a_{\tau(n)}), \tau\sigma\tau^{-1}$ is a GK type. We also say that $B \in \mathcal{H}_n(\mathfrak{o})$ is a reduced form of generalized GK type (\underline{a}, σ) if there exists a permutation $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ such that $B[P_\sigma]$ is a reduced form of GK type $(a_{\tau(1)}, a_{\tau(2)}, \dots, a_{\tau(n)}), \tau\sigma\tau^{-1}$, where P_σ is the permutation matrix associated with σ .

Definition 4.1. Let (\underline{a}, σ) be a generalized GK type. Put

$$c_i = \begin{cases} a_i & \text{if } i \notin \mathcal{P}^+ \\ a_i - 1 & \text{if } i \in \mathcal{P}^+. \end{cases}$$

Definition 4.2. A GK type (\underline{a}, σ) is well-arranged if the following condition holds.

- If $i \in \mathcal{P}_s^+$ and $j \in \mathcal{P}_s \setminus \mathcal{P}_s^+$, then we have $i < j$.

Note that if (\underline{a}, σ) is well-arranged, then $\tilde{\underline{a}}$ is a non-decreasing sequence.

Definition 4.3. Suppose that (\underline{a}, σ) is a well-arranged GK type. We define the subgroup $G'_{\underline{a}, \sigma} \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathfrak{o}_E)$ by

$$G'_{\underline{a}, \sigma} = \left\{ g = (g_{ij}) \left| \begin{array}{l} g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathfrak{o}_E), \\ \mathrm{ord}(g_{ij}) \geq (\tilde{a}_j - \tilde{a}_i)/2, \text{ if } \tilde{a}_i < \tilde{a}_j, \\ \mathrm{ord}(g_{ij}) \geq 1/2, \text{ if } \tilde{a}_i = \tilde{a}_j, i \in \mathcal{P}^=, j \notin \mathcal{P}^= \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

Then $U \in G'_{\underline{a}, \sigma}$ if and only if U stabilizes $\mathcal{K}_0^+, \mathcal{K}_1^+, \dots$.

Let I_1, \dots, I_r be the blocks. Put

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_s^{+\square} &= \mathcal{P}_s^{+\square}(\sigma) = \mathcal{P}_s^+ \setminus \mathcal{P}_s^{++} = \{i \in \mathcal{P}_s \mid a_{\sigma(i)} = a_i - 1\}, \\ \mathcal{P}_s^{-\square} &= \mathcal{P}_s^{-\square}(\sigma) = \mathcal{P}_s^- \setminus \mathcal{P}_s^{--} = \{i \in \mathcal{P}_s \mid a_{\sigma(i)} = a_i + 1\}, \end{aligned}$$

for $s = 1, \dots, r$. Then we have

$$I_s = \mathcal{P}_s^{+\square} \sqcup \mathcal{P}_s^{++} \sqcup \mathcal{P}_s^= \sqcup \mathcal{P}_s^0 \sqcup \mathcal{P}_s^{--} \sqcup \mathcal{P}_s^{-\square}.$$

Let $\tilde{I}_1, \tilde{I}_2, \dots, \tilde{I}_{\tilde{r}}$ be the block for the non-decreasing sequence $\tilde{\underline{a}}$. We also set $\tilde{n}_s = \sharp \tilde{I}_s$ and $\tilde{n}_s^* = \tilde{n}_1 + \dots + \tilde{n}_s$ for $s = 1, \dots, \tilde{r}$. For $s = 1, \dots, \tilde{r}$, define $\sigma^{(\tilde{n}_s^*)} \in \mathfrak{S}_{\tilde{n}_s^*}$ by

$$\sigma^{(\tilde{n}_s^*)}(i) = \begin{cases} i & \text{if } \sigma(i) > \tilde{n}_s^*, \\ \sigma(i) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $(\underline{a}^{(\tilde{n}_s^*)}, \sigma^{(\tilde{n}_s^*)})$ is a standard GK type.

Suppose that $B \in \mathcal{H}_n(\mathfrak{o})$ is a reduced form of standard GK type (\underline{a}, σ) . Then $B^{(\tilde{n}_s^*)}$ is a reduce form of GK type $(\underline{a}^{(\tilde{n}_s^*)}, \sigma^{(\tilde{n}_s^*)})$.

Theorem 4.1. Suppose that $B, B' \in \mathcal{H}_n(\mathfrak{o})$ are mutually equivalent reduced form of GK type (\underline{a}, σ) and (\underline{a}, σ') , respectively. We assume both σ and σ' are standard \underline{a} -admissible involutions. Then we have $G'_{\underline{a}, \sigma} = G'_{\underline{a}, \sigma'}$. Moreover, if $B' = B[U]$ with $U \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathfrak{o}_E)$, then we have $U \in G'_{\underline{a}, \sigma}$.

Corollary 1. The sequence $\underline{c} = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n)$ depends only on the equivalence class of B .

We call \underline{c} the modified Gross-Keating invariant of B . It is denoted by $\mathrm{MGK}(B)$.

Theorem 4.2. Suppose that $B \in \mathcal{H}_n(\mathfrak{o})$ is a reduced form of GK type (\underline{a}, σ) . Then we have $\mathrm{GK}(B) = \underline{a}$. In particular, B is optimal.

Theorem 4.3. Suppose that $B \in \mathcal{H}_n(\mathfrak{o})$ is a reduced form of GK type (\underline{a}, σ) .

- (1) If \tilde{n}_s^* is even, then $\xi(B^{\tilde{n}_s^*})$ depends only on the equivalence class of B .
- (2) If \tilde{n}_s^* is odd and if $c_{s+1} \geq c_s + \text{ord}(\mathbf{D})$, then $\xi(B^{\tilde{n}_s^*})$ depends only on the equivalence class of B .

5. A CONJECTURE ON THE SIEGEL SERIES

Let $\psi : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be an additive character of order 0.

For $B \in \mathcal{H}_n^{\text{nd}}(\mathfrak{o})$ we define the Siegel series $b(B, s)$ by

$$b(B, s) = \int_{R \in \text{Her}_n(E)} \psi(\text{tr}(BR)) [R\mathfrak{o}_E^n + \mathfrak{o}_E^n : \mathfrak{o}_E^n]^{-s/2} dR.$$

This integral is convergent for $\text{Re}(s) \gg 0$, and is analytically continued to the whole s -plane. Put

$$\gamma_{E/F}(X) = \prod_{i=0}^{[(n-1)/2]} (1 - q^{2i} X).$$

Then there exists a unique polynomial $F(B, X)$ in X such that

$$b(B, s) = F(B, q^{-s}) \gamma_{E/F}(q^{-s})$$

We then define a Laurent polynomial $\tilde{F}(B, X)$ by

$$\tilde{F}(B, X) = X^{e_B} F(B, q^{-n} X^{-2}).$$

It is known that the following functional equation holds.

$$\tilde{F}(B, X^{-1}) = \xi(B)^{n-1} \tilde{F}(B, X).$$

Conjecture 5.1. The Laurent polynomial $\tilde{F}(B, X)$ obtained from the Siegel series for B is determined by $\text{GK}(B)$, $\text{MGK}(B)$, and $\{\xi(B^{\tilde{n}_s^*})\}_{\tilde{n}_s^* \text{ is even}}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] *ARGOS seminar on Intersections of Modular Correspondences*, Astérisque **312** (2007).
- [2] I. I. Bouw, *Invariants of ternary quadratic forms*, Astérisque **312** (2007) 121–145.
- [3] B. Gross and K. Keating, *On the intersection of modular correspondences*, Inv. Math. **112** (1993) 225–245.
- [4] T. Ikeda and H. Katsurada, *On the Gross-Keating invariant of a quadratic form over a non-archimedean local field*, to appear in Amer. J. Math.
- [5] T. Ikeda and H. Katsurada, *Explicit formula of the Siegel series of a quadratic form over a non-archimedean local field*, in preparation.
- [6] R. Jacobowitz, *Hermitian forms over local fields*, Amer. J. Math. **84** (1962) 441–465.
- [7] R. Jacobowitz, *Gauss sums and the local classification of hermitian forms*, Amer. J. Math. **90** (1968) 528–552.

- [8] A. Johnson, *Integral representations of hermitian forms over local fields*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **229** (1968) 57–80.
- [9] H. Katsurada, *An explicit formula for Siegel series*, Amer. J. Math. **121** (1999) 415–452.
- [10] S. Kudla, M. Rapoport, and T. Yang, *Modular Forms and Special Cycles on Shimura Curves*, Princeton university press, (2006).
- [11] O. T. O'Meara, *Introduction to Quadratic Forms*, Springer, (1973).
- [12] W. Scharlau, *Quadratic and Hermitian forms* Springer (1985)
- [13] J.-P. Serre, *Local Fields* Springer, (1979)
- [14] G. Shimura, *Euler products and Eisenstein series*, AMS, (1997).
- [15] T. Yang, *Local densities of 2-adic quadratic forms*, J. Number Theory **108** (2004) 287–345.
- [16] T. Wedhorm, *Calculation of representation densities* Astérisque **312** (2007) 185–196.

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KITASHIRAKAWA,
KYOTO, 606-8502, JAPAN

E-mail address: ikeda@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp

MURORAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY 27-1 MIZUMOTO, MURORAN, 050-
8585, JAPAN

E-mail address: hidenori@mmm.muroran-it.ac.jp